

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Pettit: Would like to know if this application is for work already completed. Also, the application states that this is for the storm windows but that does not correspond to the photos submitted.

Kochanek: States she was advised in phone discussion with Frances Gunderson that this work was already completed in 2006.

Rupert: States that it appears that the old Jalousie windows were taken out and oversize vinyl or aluminum clad windows were installed in place of them.

Stevenson: Advised that since the application is unclear as to whether this is for the previously completed work or if this is for the installation of a new set of windows that this application can be tabled in order to obtain more information.

Motion: Pettit (second: Schmiedeke) moves to table pending more information about what this application is for.

Secretary of the Interior Standard:
N/A

Approval: Motion approved and carried.

133 W. Michigan

Applicant: Arbor Glass & Mirror, LLC; Ryan McDonald (absent)

Discussion: Application is for the replacement of the side door on the structure with commercial Ramco door and frame with side light and transom.

Schmiedeke: Would like to know if this is for the door on the Washington St. side and if this is currently a wood door.

Rupert: Affirms that this is a wood door and that he was at this location and that the wood door is currently rotting.

Pettit: Wants to know if there is more information or a cut sheet for this door.

Rupert: States that this is a similar door that was put in at a Depot Town store that was previously a Quiznos and that this is a high quality, commercial door.

Schmiedeke: Wants to know if the other two doors on the building are already metal.

Rupert: Affirms that the other two doors on the structure are already metal.

Henriksen: States that this existing wood door is not original.

Motion: Rupert (second: Henriksen) moves to approve the application for 133 W. Michigan Ave as presented for the Ramco door frame with a sidelight and transom as described in the application submitted in a dark bronze for the west entry door.

Secretary of the Interior Standards cited:
#9- Contemporary designs shall be compatible and shall not destroy significant original material.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

414 Maple

Applicant: Sunglo Restoration Services; contractor (absent).
James Gorman; owner (present)

Discussion: Application is for roof replacement and repair of the siding previously damaged.

Schmiedeke: Wants to know if this house is already aluminum sided.

Gorman: Confirms that the house is already aluminum sided. He states that storms blew down the neighbor's tree and damaged the house. He is looking to replace the entire roof with the dimensional shingles and they want to go with a darker color. He states that their objective is to make the house look the way that it did before the damage.

Schmiedeke: Wants to know what side of the house this work is for.

Gorman: States that this is on the west side of the house. Also that the corner of the side porch flashing was installed incorrectly and rotted the fascia and that they would like for the contractor to fix the flashing and rotted wood in that area. Again this will match what already exists.

Henriksen: In the submitted paperwork, it appears that there is a continuous ridge vent. She would like to know if there are any other roof vents.

Gorman: He states that there are not any other roof vents that he can think of.

Henriksen: Wants to know if the flat roof be a roll roof.

Gorman: States that a few different roof types were discussed for the flat roof.

Schmiedeke: States that the submitted documents show roll roofing.

Henriksen: States that the submitted documents show modified bitumen farther up on the document.

Motion: Henriksen (second: Pettit) moves to approve the application at 414 Maple St. to include the repair to the damage on the aluminum siding. The new aluminum siding will match the exposure of the existing style and will be painted to match the existing color. The roof will be replaced with a dimensional shingle in a charcoal grey by GAF Timberline brand. Any flashing and roof edge will match in color either the roof or trim on the house. Gutters and downspouts that were damaged will be replaced to match what was previously there. A torch is not to be used in the installation.

Secretary of the Interior Standards cited:
5- Preserve distinctive features.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

190 N. Washington

Applicant: Eric Maurer (absent)

Discussion: Application is for the roof replacement that was previously done. The roof is the same color but appears to be a different type of shingle. The roof shingle style went from scalloped to standard shingle.

Kochanek: This is a structure that was brought to the attention of the HDC by a commissioner who noticed that roofing was being done on the property without a submitted application. Staff went out to document during the roofing process and at that time a part of the roof was a scalloped shingle and the other portion was the standard shingle. The entire roof is now the standard shingle.

Pettit: No issues with the roof replacement but would have liked to see an application before this was completed.

Stevenson: The roof replacement is not a problem but would like to have the approval letter sent with a reminder that an application needs to be submitted prior to starting exterior work in the historic district.

Motion: Rupert (second: Pettit) moves to approve the application for the roof on 190 N. Washington.

Secretary of the Interior Standards cited:
#9- Contemporary designs shall be compatible and shall not destroy significant original material.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

STUDY ITEMS

121 N Washington

Discussion: Owner previously attended an HDC meeting as a study item and is working on completing drawings for the storefront. Owner had a meeting with the building department and planning earlier in the day and wanted to know if the HDC would be receptive to a flat storefront instead of recessed doorway since the questions came up regarding regulations on access if the current structure is re-configured.

Pettit: States that he could still be compliant with access codes without moving the door flush.

Henriksen: States that there are still other things that he could do to remain complaint without moving the door. States that we cannot tell him what to do but that we can consider complete plans when brought to the HDC.

Pettit: Needs to see design plans for the entire concept and the idea needs to be flushed out better.

Stevenson: Vote could go either way on moving the door flush but the feeling may be that moving the door is not necessary in order to become complaint for access.

Rupert: Would like to see samples and a print with dimensions and textures with the application.

ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS - none

OTHER BUSINESS

Fence fact sheet

Schmiedeke reconfigured the fact sheet and is looking for approval.

Motion: Rupert (second: Henriksen) moves to approve the revised fence fact sheet as submitted with the addition of a date tagline at the bottom of the page.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

Policy regarding vinyl clad windows

Staff was advised that if the HDC was not going to allow vinyl clad windows within the historic district anymore, then a policy would need to be voted on in order to enforce this.

Discussion: Schmiedeke: Believes that they had already voted on the exclusion of vinyl clad windows previously. Wants to know if we publish our policies on the website.

Stevenson, Rupert and Henriksen: Thought that there was a vote on this before and believe that this policy was already adopted.

Stevenson: In terms of policy, we have a fact sheet online, but other than that....

Pettit: We do not have a actual policy document.

Henriksen: Wants to know if they can just vote on changing the window fact sheet since that is what is published on the website.

Motion: Henriksen (second: Pettit) moves to exclude vinyl windows and vinyl clad windows on the window fact sheet.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

Property Monitoring - none

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS - none

HOUSEKEEPING BUSINESS

Approval of the minutes of December 10, 2013

Motion: Rupert (second: Pettit) moves to approve as submitted.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Pettit (second: Henriksen) moves to adjourn.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:39pm