

Schmiedeke: States that the replaced section of chain link fence needs to be removed.

Rupert: States that when staff sends the denial letter she needs to the address the fact that the fence needs to be replaced.

Condon: Inquires as to what the issue is with chain link fences.

Rupert: States that they are not historical.

Schmiedeke: States that chain link is appropriate for industrial uses not residential.

Motion: Prebys (second: Rupert) moves denial for the fence application for 214 Maple because the HDC does not approve steel chain link fences in residential areas.

Secretary of the Interior Standard:
N/A

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

Stevenson (as Beal has just arrived): Advises that the application has already been denied but that Beal can come up to the front to discuss the denial with the HDC.

Beal: States that the property already had a chain link fence when he purchased it and he replaced one section that went missing.

Condon: Advises that chain link is not allowed in the district. Advises that when it was replaced it became a new installation of chain link fencing and that the HDC cannot set a precedent that chain link is allowed in the district.

Beal: Inquires as to what he needs to install there instead.

Condon: Advises that a painted wood fence would need to be installed.

Beal: Would like to know what the height would need to be.

Condon: States that it would need to be at the same height of the current fence.

300 N Washington

Applicant: Rebecca Selter, representative for First Presbyterian Church (present).

Discussion: Application is for wood trim repair and tuck pointing.

Stevenson: Recaps that the application is for masonry work and trim repair.

Selter: Confirms that wood trim and masonry work is going to be completed.

Condon: Would like to know the location of the masonry repairs.

Selter: States that there are a couple areas in need of attention. States that a few are down in the window wells where there is poured concrete and some areas are field stone. Also a small brick area on the porches needs repair.

Condon: Would like to know if these areas get salt in the winter.

Selter: Confirms that one of the areas does get a lot of salt.

Condon: States to use a softer mix on the common brick, such as a 4-to-1 mix rather than a 3-to-1 mix. States that they can also look into a vapor-permeable sealer, such as salt guard by Prosoco, for the areas that get a lot of salt and that helps to prevent the deterioration. States that a 3-to-1 mix is fine for the granite.

Motion: Condon (second: Schmiedeke) moves approval at 300 N Washington to include masonry repair and the reattaching and repair of the wood trim. We suggest that on the areas of common brick the mortar mix be 8 parts of sand to one part Portland and one part lime. Also, a salt guard sealer for the areas that get salt.

Secretary of the Interior Standard:
#6-Repair, don't replace. Replacements shall match the original.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

STUDY ITEMS

24 N Huron

Applicant: Bessie Pappas, owner (present).

Discussion: Applicant is here to discuss a possible fence installation.

Applicant is here to discuss the installation of a wood fence. Condon would like to know where the fence will go. Applicant advises that the fence will go at the back. The fence will be 5 to 6 feet tall. She is planning on using wood. Condon advises that the wood fence needs to be painted.

Prebys advises that the HDC will need a drawing of where the fence will go on the lot. Applicant is advised that a straight picket fence is fine. Prebys advises of what is needed for the application; paint color, how tall the fence will be, a description of the fence and a drawing of where the fence will be located. Stevenson advises that a new application is needed since this current application is a for a study item.

124 Pearl

Staff received a call from someone interested in the space and he would like to know if there would be an issue with the installation of a fenced enclosure at the back of the structure. Stevenson states that we would need a site map of where it is located, how high it would be, what it is made of and what it would look like.

ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS- None

OTHER BUSINESS

Property Monitoring:

169 N Washington: Appears that there was a fire at this location and it needs to be monitored since the windows may need to be replaced and other work needed.

525 N Huron: Staff will continue to monitor the status. Photos taken on 10-16-14. Commissioner Rupert states that the house has been painted but that the vinyl windows and metal door remain. Staff states that the owner contacted her about the porch and that she advised that any work on the porch would need to be brought to the HDC for review.

314 E Cross: Staff advises that work has not been completed as of last week.

106 S Washington: Staff looked at the property on 10/28/14 and there have been no changes, outbuilding roof looks worse if anything.

221 S Washington: Staff advises that a letter was sent to owner regarding fence needing painting.

35 S Huron: No update.

214 W Forest: No update.

613 N Prospect: No update.

MI State grant:

Commissioner Prebys advises that a \$600,000 grant is available from the State of Michigan and might be available for properties in the historic district.

HDC appointment:

Erika Lindsay will be the new HDC commissioner. She is going to join the HDC on November 11th.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS –none

HOUSEKEEPING BUSINESS

Approval of the minutes of October 14, 2014

Motion: Rupert (second: Prebys) moves to approve the minutes as presented.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Pettit (second: Prebys) moves to adjourn.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:40 pm